

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,623	09/09/2003	Wei Fan	YOR920030261US1	2548
28211	7590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III GIBB INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW FIRM, LLC 2568-A RIVA ROAD SUITE 304			DAVIS, GEORGE B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2129	
ANNAPOLIS	, MD 21401		DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Commence		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/658,623	FAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	George Davis	2129				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS CO 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower tation. Try period will apply and will expire S by statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 00 January 2006					
2a)□		M <u>o<i>s sanuary 2000.</i></u>	ı				
3)□	•			the morite is			
الــارد	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1	500 C.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	_						
8)							
		•	_				
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 200</u>	$\underline{6}$ is/are: a) $igtiz$ accepted o	r b)☐ objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	· .	. 2					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	ا ال	nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) F	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	Notice of Informal Patent Application (F	PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:							

Application/Control Number: 10/658,623

Art Unit: 2129

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 8, 14 and 20 recite the limitation "said system" in lines 3, 4 and 7.

Claims 7, 13, 19 and 26 recite the limitation "said system" in line 2.

Claims 1, 8, 14 and 20 recite the limitation "said training process" in lines 9 or 10.

Claims 2 and 15 recite the limitation "said process of creating a model" in line 1.

Claims 2, 15 and 21 recite the limitation "said features for normal system operations" in line 3.

Claims 5, 11 and 24 recite the limitation "the likelihood" in line 2.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said process of creating a model" in line 10.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said features for normal system operations" in lines 11 and 12.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the likelihood" in line 11.

Claims 7, 13, 19 and 26 recite the limitation "said system" in line 2.

Claims 4, 10, 17 and 23 recite the limitation "said normal system" in line 1.

Claims 7, 13, 19 and 26 recite the limitation "said process of identifying abnormal actions" in line 1.

There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claims 1, 8, 14 and 20, the phrase "performing training by calculating anomaly scores" render the claimed invention indefinite because "calculating" does not reflect a training performance but it reflects a determining performance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The language of the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that has no limitation to a practical application which produces a concrete, useful, and tangible result.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1, 8, 14 and 20, the step of "automatically identifying abnormal actions" fails to render the claims statutory because it recites not a tangible result by not specifying what happened after the identifying method step. Claims 7, 13, 19 and 26, the step of "comparing said anomaly score for each of said features with said threshold to determine whether each anomaly score exceeds said threshold" fails to render the claims statutory because it recites not a tangible result by not specifying what happened after "comparing" and "determine".

Application/Control Number: 10/658,623

Art Unit: 2129

In regard to claim 20, the Federal Circuit also recognizes that the fact that a

nonstatutory method is carried out on a programmed computer (machine) does not

make the process claims statutory. Grams, 888 F. 2d at 841, 12 USPQ2d at 1829 (claim

16 ruled nonstatutory even though it was a computer-implemented process).

Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00

am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Vincent, can be reached on (571) 272-3080. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-3800.

June 24, 2006

GEORGE B. DAVIS

Page 4

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER